

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/744,6	78 04/10	/01 SUZUKI		Т	0425-0821F	
- 002292	002292 HM12/0731			EXA	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			PRYOR, A			
PO BOX 7				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
! [~i] <u>t</u> ·!	JRCH VA 22	040-0747		1616	7	
				DATE MAILED:		
					07/31/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No. 09/744,678 Applicant(s)

Suzuki et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

Alton Pryor

Art Unit 1616

The	MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Repl		<u> </u>		
THE MAILIN	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation.		
- If the period 1	for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, ared timely.	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO period 1	for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
 Failure to rep Any reply rec 	ly within the set or extended period for reply will, by	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	·			
1) 💢 Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 10, 2			
2a) 🗌 This a	ction is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
	this application is in condition for allowance ϵ in accordance with the practice under E_{X} pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of	Claims			
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of t	he above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌 Claims	S	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Pa	pers			
9) 🗆 The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The d	rawing(s) filed onis/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The p	roposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.		
12) ☐ The o	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Ackno	owledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) 🗌 All	b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
1. 🗆 (Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
·	Certified copies of the priority documents hav	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
_	owledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment(s).				
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
\simeq	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) 🔀 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09744678

Art Unit: 1616

claim indefinite. The term "derivative" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

6. Claim 7 provides for the use of plant freshness keeping composition, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minoru et al (JP 06336401; 12/6/94) and Masahiko et al (JP 06227904; 8/16/94) or Carstairs et al (WO 9424857; 11/10/94) in combination.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09744678

Art Unit: 1616

Minoru teaches a method of applying to a plant a plant freshness composition comprising an alkyl glycoside. Minoru does not teach the method/composition comprising a polysaccharide and /or gibberellin. However, Masahiko teaches a composition/method for maintaining the freshness of plants comprising trehalose (disaccharide) for maintaining the freshness of plants. Carstairs teaches a composition/method comprising gibberellin for maintaining or enhancing the freshness of plants. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the composition/method of Minoru with the composition/method of Masahiko or Carstairs. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop a composition/method that would have been more effective for keeping the freshness of plants.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Application/Control Number: 09744678

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Patent Examiner, AU 1616

7/28/01